

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/662,136	09/12/2003	Alejandro J. Gonzalez	1 . 839-B. 03	9087	
7590 11/09/2004			EXAMINER		
MALLOY & MALLOY, P.A. Historic Coral Way			LEUNG, RICHARD L		
2800 S.W. Third Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Miami, FL 3	Miami, FL 33129			3744	
			DATE MAII ED: 11/09/200	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1/0 \
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/662,136	GONZALEZ, ALEJANDRO J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard L. Leung	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply body within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS five, cause the application to become ABANDO	days will be considered timely. Tom the mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication. The mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 S 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Off	ce Action or form P1O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applic Pority documents have been received in Application (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	,	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	

Application/Control Number: 10/662,136

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of
obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 and 11 of
U.S. Patent No. 6619048 B1 (Gonzalez). Although the conflicting claims are not
identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they claim the same
subject matter.

In regard to claim1, Gonzalez already claims in claim 1 an effects generation system operative to produce a special effects cloud comprising a container containing a quantity of a cryogenic fluid (part a), the container having a fluid outlet disposed in fluid communication with the cryogenic fluid within the container (part b), a pressurization assembly structured to maintain a substantially continuous outflow of the cryogenic fluid through the fluid outlet (part c), a delivery assembly operatively connected to said fluid outlet and including an outlet assembly structured to deliver the cryogenic fluid into a predetermined area (part d), and the pressurization assembly further structured to substantially equalize fluid flow pressure to said outlet assembly (part e).

In regard to dependent claims 3-7, these claims recite identical subject matter as dependent claims 2-6 of Gonzalez, and therefore need no further explanation.

In regard to claim 8, Gonzalez already claims in claim 11 an effects generation system operative to produce a special effects cloud comprising a cryogenic fluid source including a quantity of cryogenic fluid and a fluid outlet (part a), a pressurization assembly structured to maintain a pressurized outflow of the cryogenic fluid through the fluid outlet (part b), a delivery assembly connected to the fluid outlet structured to deliver the cryogenic fluid into a predetermined area (part c), a plurality of delivery ports connected in fluid flow communication with the fluid outlet and disposed in a predetermined array so as to deliver said cryogenic fluid in a defined pattern in a predetermined area (part d), and a quantity of reactive fluid disposed in the predetermined area in reactive proximity with the cryogenic fluid (part e).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Application/Control Number: 10/662,136 Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

🔊 , 🤏

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 2 recites the limitation whereby, "said pressurization assembly is integrally formed with said container." No support for this limitation could be found in Applicant's disclosure. While it is noted that page 11, lines 2-4 recites that the pressurization assembly is operatively associated with the cryogenic fluid source, and preferably with the container, this is insufficient support for the claim because being operatively associated with the container is not deemed equivalent to being integrally formed with the container. Furthermore, it is noted from the specification that the pressurization assembly comprises at least one pressurized fluid source and may further comprise a pressure regulator, but there is no written description in the specification that would enable any person skilled in the art to form these components integrally with the container. This rejection may be overcome by showing where the limitations of the claim are supported in the specification.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard L. Leung whose telephone number is 703-306-4154. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise L. Esquivel can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard L. Leung Examiner Art Unit 3744

rll